

**U.S. District Court
North Carolina Middle District (NCMD)
CRIMINAL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:13-cr-00435-TDS-1**

Case title: USA v. HILL

Date Filed: 11/25/2013

Related Case: 1:17-cv-01036-TDS-JLW

Date Terminated: 11/12/2014

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
04/13/2022	<u>306</u>	Document re <u>301</u> MOTION for Reconsideration re <u>300</u> Order on Motion for Miscellaneous Relief, Order on Motion to Appoint Counsel, <u>296</u> MOTION to Appoint Attorney filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back). (Bowers, Alexis) (Entered: 04/13/2022)
04/20/2022	<u>307</u>	MEMORANDUM OF STELLA FORINASH AND KENNETH FORINASH IN FAVOR OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE OF BRIAN DAVID HILL; IN SUPPORT OF WHY BRIAN DAVID HILL SUSPECTS BLACKMAIL OF "JUDGES" AND "OFFICIALS"; AND IN SUPPORT OF GROUND VI – UNCONSTITUTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH THE STATE COURT PROCESS AND/OR UNWARRANTED USURPATION OF POWER AGAINST THE STATE COURT PROCESS IN VIOLATION OF THE TENTH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION; AND IN SUPPORT OF 2255 MOTION (DOC. #291) by BRIAN DAVID HILL (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Attachment 1: "Affidavit Stella April, 2022.pdf", # <u>2</u> Attachment 2:"1 Brian Hill's proof of innocence for the court in 2022.pdf", # <u>3</u> Attachment 3:"2 Who is Brian Hill–Pictures & Descriptions.pdf", # <u>4</u> Attachment 4:"3 Brian's treatment in jail with brittle diabetes, autism & OCD.pdf", # <u>5</u> Attachment 5:"4 Threats.pdf", # <u>6</u> Attachment 6:"5 INVESTIGATION 1.pdf", # <u>7</u> Attachment 7:"6 INVESTIGATION 2.pdf, # <u>8</u> Attachment 8:"7 Danville, VA–Brian–Discovery.pdf", # <u>9</u> Attachment 9:"8 ACTUAL INNOCENCE.pdf", # <u>10</u> Attachment 10:"9–Child Pornography Through a Computer Virus–Roberts Law Group.pdf", # <u>11</u> Envelope Front Envelope, # <u>12</u> Envelope Back Envelope, # <u>13</u> Note to Clerk) (Bowers, Alexis) (Entered: 04/20/2022)
04/20/2022	<u>308</u>	ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF "MEMORANDUM OF STELLA FORINASH AND KENNETH FORINASH IN FAVOR OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE OF BRIAN DAVID HILL; IN SUPPORT OF WHY BRIAN DAVID IDLL SUSPECTS BLACKMAIL OF "JUDGES" AND "OFFICIALS"; AND IN SUPPORT OF GROUND VI – UNCONSTITUTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH THE STATE COURT PROCESS AND/OR UNWARRANTED USURPATION OF POWER AGAINST THE STATE COURT PROCESS IN VIOLATION OF THE TENTH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION; AND IN SUPPORT OF 2255 MOTION (DOC. #291)" by BRIAN DAVID HILL (Attachments: # <u>1</u> ATTACHMENT 11: "10–CAN OF WORMS Infowars Targeted By Child Porn And MSM, Not The First Time Alternative Journalists Set Up– Activist Post.pdf") (Bowers, Alexis) (Entered: 04/20/2022)
04/20/2022	<u>309</u>	EMERGENCY MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME OR DELAY THE TIME FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO RESPOND TO PETITIONER'S DOCUMENT # <u>291</u> MOTION TO VACATE, SET ASIDE OR CORRECT SENTENCE (PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. 2255) by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Bowers, Alexis) (Entered: 04/20/2022)
04/21/2022		Motion Referred to MAGISTRATE JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER RE: <u>309</u> EMERGENCY MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME OR DELAY THE TIME FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO RESPOND TO PETITIONER'S DOCUMENT # 291 MOTION TO VACATE, SET ASIDE OR CORRECT SENTENCE (PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. 2255) by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 04/21/2022)
04/21/2022		TEXT ORDER denying <u>301</u> Motion for Reconsideration. Petitioner has filed a motion (Docket Entry 301) requesting that the Court reconsider an Order directing the Government to file a response to Petitioner's motion brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 and denying the appointment of a special master, a change of venue, the

		appointment of counsel, and the adoption of special filing procedures. Petitioner has failed to provide good cause or an adequate reason for the relief requested. The motion is therefore denied. Issued by MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER on 4/21/2022. (Lee, Pedra) (Entered: 04/21/2022)
04/21/2022		TEXT ORDER denying <u>309</u> Emergency Motion for Extension of Time or Delay the Time for the Government to Respond to Petitioner's §2255 motion. Petitioner has filed a motion (Docket Entry 309) requesting that the Court delay or extend the Government's deadline for responding to his motion brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Petitioner has failed to provide good cause or an adequate reason for the relief requested. The motion is therefore denied. Issued by MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER on 4/21/2022. (Lee, Pedra) (Entered: 04/21/2022)